February 7, 2020



Ms. Nancy Vogel Director of the Governor's Water Portfolio Program California Natural Resources Agency 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: A multi-benefit approach to water resilience in California

Dear Ms. Vogel,

Thank you for your continued work on ensuring a resilient water future for all Californians. Building resilience in California will require investment in our natural and built water systems. Because water is deeply linked with our economic, environmental, and community well-being, these investments can affect all aspects of resilience, including energy use and greenhouse gas emissions, watershed health, and community livability.

California's Water Resilience Portfolio can advance water resilience if it directs state agencies to systematically examine multiple benefits and trade-offs of investments and prioritize investments that maximize the benefits.

The draft California Water Resilience Portfolio specifically calls for multi-benefit projects and partnerships, yet it provides no definition of the term "multiple benefits." Without a definition, it is challenging for state agencies and the public to compare and prioritize project options. **The term "multiple benefits" should be defined consistently throughout the portfolio** to reflect the full range of potential benefits and trade-offs of water management, including impacts to water quality, water supply, and flood control, as well as energy, environment, communities, and risk and resilience (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Five themes of multiple benefits and trade-offs for water management.

Through a collaborative research effort, the Pacific Institute developed a framework for systematically identifying and incorporating multiple benefits and trade-offs into water management decisions.¹ Based on this work, we recommend that the California Water Resilience Portfolio:

PACIFIC

INSTITUTE

- 1) Define "multiple benefits" of water investments to include a broad and consistent list of benefits (such as the example list provided in Table 1 below).
- 2) Use that consistent list to prioritize project funding and maximize the benefits of investments in water.

Themes	Benefits
Water Supply	Increase water supply
	Reduce water demand
Flooding	Reduce large-scale flood risk
	Reduce nuisance structure flooding
Water Quality	Improve surface and coastal water quality
	Improve groundwater quality
	Improve drinking water quality
Energy	Reduce energy embedded in water
	Reduce energy for operations
	Produce clean energy
Environment	Reduce urban heat island
	Restore or protect habitat
	Reduce carbon footprint
	Restore or protect in-stream flows
	Improve air quality
	Improve soil health
People and	Create high quality jobs
Community	Improve public health
	Support local economy
	Provide recreation
	 Improve household affordability
Risk and	 Increase resilience to natural hazards
Resilience	Increase adaptability
	Reduce stranded assets
	Improve reputation
	Provide regulatory compliance

Table 1. Example list of benefits that can be provided by water investments.

In addition to providing a consistent way to prioritize strategies, a multi-benefit framework can assist water managers in **developing funding guidelines** that consider multiple benefits and trade-offs. Many of the benefits outlined above can be quantified or even monetized. Providing standardized metrics and methods in funding guidelines would allow water managers to better compare project options and support a more transparent decision-making process.

¹ For more information: Diringer, Sarah, Anne Thebo, Heather Cooley, Robert Wilkinson, Morgan Shimabuku, and McKenzie Bradford. 2019. Moving Toward a Multi-Benefit Approach to Water Management. Oakland, Calif.: Pacific Institute. <u>https://pacinst.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/moving-toward-multi-benefit-approach.pdf</u>.



Overall, a multi-benefit framework based on a consistent list of benefits can:

- Provide an objective basis for comparison of investment options for a policy or project;
- Identify opportunities to share costs among project beneficiaries or responsible agencies;
- Minimize adverse and unintended consequences to the environment and communities;
- Optimize the investment of time, money, and other resources; and,
- Increase transparency associated with water management decisions.

The draft portfolio calls for the development of an interagency team to develop multi-benefit funding programs by utilizing resources in existing programs (action 28.3). We recommend directing this group to define the term multiple benefits and develop methods for prioritizing projects based on these benefits. This information can also feed into efforts to simplify permitting, thereby helping to launch more multi-benefit projects and partnerships throughout the state.

While no single solution exists for California water challenges, it is important to emphasize that a "portfolio" approach does not imply that we must or can do "everything." Resources are limited. We must invest in strategies that maximize benefits for the economy, environment, and our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Cooley Pacific Institute *

Robert Wilkinson, Ph.D. University of California, Santa Barbara

Christina Babbitt Environmental Defense Fund *

Benjamin Bryant, Ph.D. Water in the West, Stanford University

Belinda Faustinos Nature for All

Val Fishman Bonneville Environmental Foundation and Business for Water Stewardship *

Manny Gonez TreePeople

Regina Hirsch Sierra Watershed Progressive Sarah Diringer, Ph.D. Pacific Institute *

Martha Davis Mono Lake Committee, Board Member

Katharine Jacobs University of Arizona

Felicia Marcus Water Policy Group **

Todd Reeve Bonneville Environmental Foundation and Business for Water Stewardship *

Wayne Tate Eagle Aerial Solutions

Ben Werner Sustainable Living Research Initiative

* Denotes member of the California Water Action Collaborative ** For identification only