



<davlow123@aol.com

To: <james\_williams@blm.gov>

>

cc:

12/31/00 01:21 AM

Subject: STOP MOJAVE WATER GRAB!

December 31, 2000

P117

Mr. James Williams  
Bureau of Land Management  
California Desert District  
6221 Box Springs Boulevard  
Riverside, CA 92507-0714

Dear James Williams,

I am writing to comment on the draft supplemental environmental impact statement on the Cadiz Groundwater Storage and Dry-year Supply Program. I am concerned about the possible impacts this project could have on the Mojave National Preserve and several desert Wilderness Areas. I do not believe that this environmental review adequately addresses the potential threats. I also feel that the safeguards outlined to protect the environment will be sufficient.

P117-1

Rather than go into technical specifics, I'd like to make a more philosophical appeal: specifically, that you be willing to undergo a dearth in popularity and approval for the sake of what is really right for the future and posterity. Have the discipline and fortitude to put longer-term interests over shorter-term political and financial benefits. Think of--say, 100 years from now--the people who will not contract certain diseases, or will not have to pay exorbitant water bills, or who will not have to invest in expensive purification equipment, as a result of the better decision which you make.

P117-2

Please support the public interest and reject this proposal.  
Thank you.

P117-3

I am writing to comment on the draft supplemental environmental impact statement on the Cadiz Groundwater Storage and Dry-year Supply Program. I am concerned about the possible impacts this project could have on the Mojave National Preserve and several desert Wilderness Areas. I do not believe that this environmental review adequately addresses the potential threats. I also feel that the safeguards outlined to protect the environment will be sufficient.

P117-4

Specifically, I am concerned that the plan for monitoring and mitigation is not well defined and offers questionable management for environmental impacts. The plan gives too much authority for decisions affecting the desert ecosystem to Cadiz, Inc. and its customer, Metropolitan Water District, both of which have an economic interest in extracting groundwater. Allowing profit-focused decision-makers to be in charge of the protection of our public resources does not protect the public interest.

P117-5

The document needs to examine alternatives that are actually sustainable, safer and less environmentally destructive, including water conservation measures. The review of such viable alternatives is legal requirement. I believe much more progress should be made in water conservation before parks and natural areas are further threatened by projects such as this. Alternatives that should be considered include other existing, planned or feasible

P117-6

water storage projects and a host of easily adopted water conservation measures that have successfully reduced water demand in other areas.

P117-6

Finally, I am concerned about the possible air pollution that could result if the water table under the nearby "dry" lakes drops even a few feet. We have already seen what can happen when this occurs, namely Owens Lake. In addition to the nearby inhabitants, this pollution threatens public lands including two national parks and five wilderness areas managed by the Bureau of Land Management.

P117-7

These lands were set aside by Congress to be permanently protected for their nationally significant natural and cultural resource values. This project is likely to undo that protection for future generations.

Please support the public interest and reject this proposal.  
Thank you.

David and Marsha Low  
8018 Hammond Rd.  
CHELTENHAM, PA 19012